

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/552,247	07/28/2006	Yoshihisa Amano	DK-US055218	8897	
	7590 10/03/2007 OUNSELORS, LLP		EXAMINER		
1233 20TH ST	REET, NW, SUITE 700		DIRAMIO, JACQUELINE A		
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20036-2680	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		PAPER NUMBER	
			1641		
	•		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
•			10/03/2007	PAPER ·	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/552,247	AMANO ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Jacqueline DiRamio	1641			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed  n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status		•				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 Ja</u>	nuary 2007.				
2a) <u></u> ⊡	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5) 6) 7)	Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> are subject to restriction and/or expressions.					
Applicati	on Papers					
· 9)[]	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority u	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)⊠ a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents  2. Certified copies of the priority documents  3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior  application from the International Bureau  See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat ity documents have been receiv (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage			
Attachmen	t(c)	,	•			
1) Notice 2) Notice 3) Inform	tites of References Cited (PTO-892) the of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	Pate			

Art Unit: 1641

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1 – 18, drawn to a colorimetric sensor.

Group II, claim(s) 19, drawn to a filter.

Group III, claim(s) 20, drawn to an apparatus.

Group IV, claim(s) 21 and 23 – 25, drawn to an air conditioner.

Group V, claim(s) 22, drawn to an air conditioner.

Group VI, claim(s) 26, drawn to a method for confirming a lifetime of a filter for an air condition.

The inventions listed as Groups I – VI do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

Unity of invention exists only when there is a technical relationship among the claimed inventions involving one or more special technical features. The term "special technical features" is defined as meaning those technical features that define a contribution which each of the inventions considered as a whole, makes over the prior art. The determination is made based on the contents of the claims as interpreted in light of the description and drawings. In the instant application, Groups I – VI share the

Art Unit: 1641

common technical feature of the colorimetric sensor of Group I, which is known in the art as shown by Charych et al. [WO 96/25665] for a three-dimensional colorimetric assay assembly. Therefore the inventions do not form a general inventive concept, as they do not share a common special technical feature.

In addition, this application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species:

In the event the Group I is elected, the following species elections must also be made:

- A. One Type of Cause for the Altered Light Absorbency of the Polymer molecule:
  - i) molecular structural alteration (claims 3 and 4); or
  - ii) alteration in an electron distribution state (claims 5-10);
- B. One Type of Water-Retaining Means:
  - i) porous material (claims 13-14); or
  - ii) absorbent polymer (claims 15-17).

The species are independent or distinct because their structures, physiochemical properties, and mode of action are different, and they do not share a common structure that is disclosed to be essential for common utility. Furthermore, the examination of these species would require different searches in the scientific literature. As such, it would be burdensome to search these Species together.

Art Unit: 1641

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1, 2, 11, 12 and 18 are generic for Group I.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not

Art Unit: 1641

distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jacqueline DiRamio whose telephone number is 571-272-8785. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Long Le can be reached on 571-272-0823. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1641

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Jackie DiRamio
Patent Examiner
Art Unit 1641

LONG V. LE <sup>09</sup>/27/3 PERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600